

**PHONOLOGICAL ANSWERS TO ORTHOGRAPHIC
PROBLEMS.
ON THE TREATMENT OF
NON-SIBILANT OBSTRUENT + LIQUID GROUPS
IN HISPANO-CELTIC**

Joseph F. Eska

Preliminary matters

§1. The large majority of the corpus of Hispano-Celtic linguistic records is engraved in an adaptation of the Iberian script, which has segmental characters for vowels, sonorants, and sibilants, and moraic characters — which do not code voicing¹— for non-sibilant obstruents. The typical character shapes of the eastern school of writing and their transcriptions are as in (1):²

(1)

a = 𐀀	Pa =	Ta = ×	Ca = 𐀁	m = 𐀂	n = 𐀃
e = 𐀄	Pe = 𐀅	Te = 𐀆	Ce = 𐀇	l = 𐀈	ř = 𐀉
i = 𐀊	Pi = 𐀋	Ti = 𐀌	Ci = 𐀍	ś = 𐀎	s = 𐀏
o = 𐀐	Po = 𐀑	To = 𐀒	Co = 𐀓		
u = 𐀔	Pu = 𐀕	Tu = 𐀖	Cu = 𐀗		

§2. As has long been recognised, one of the principal difficulties that arises in the script is that it is not possible to spell /TL/ groups straightforwardly.³ The orthographic convention which was developed to spell such groups is to write a moraic character whose vocalic colour copies that of the following etymological vowel, i.e., to spell a so-called ‘dead’ vowel, a system familiar from the Linear B script (see Woodard 1994 and Bartoněk 2003: 109–110), e.g.:

¹ At least, not usually. Jordán Cólera 2005 makes an interesting case that five inscriptions have introduced a voicing distinction into some of the moraic characters

² I employ the traditional transcriptions of the sibilant characters, whereby 𐀎 = ⟨ś⟩ and 𐀏 = ⟨s⟩. Since the mid-1990s, two other systems have become common: That of the Spanish school transcribes 𐀎 as ⟨s⟩ and 𐀏 as ⟨z⟩ (roughly since Villar 1995); that of the German school transcribes them as ⟨s⟩ and ⟨đ⟩, respectively (roughly since Untermann 1997). My reasons for maintaining the traditional transcriptional system are set out in Eska 2002: 141².

³ L = any liquid; T = any non-sibilant obstruent; V = any vowel.

- (2) a. nom. sg. *a-re-ku-tu-ru-wo*; cf. ἀλεκτρούων ‘cock’.
b. acc. sg. *ka-ra-te-ra*; cf. κρατήρα ‘mixing vessel’.
c. nom. sg. *ko-no-so*; cf. Κνωσ(σ)ός ‘a toponym’.

Some tokens of this convention in Hispano-Celtic are:

- (3) a. **PalaCoś** (MLH K.1.3 iv 18; nom. sg.) /ϕlakkos/ ‘an idionym’; cf. Lat. *Flaccus*.
b. **ConśCiliTom** (MLH K.1.1 A3; acc. sg.) /konsklitom/ ‘cut up’ < **skl-tō-*.
c. **ColouñioCu** (MLH A.67.1; gen. pl.) /klownioku:/ ‘an abbreviated derivative of a toponym’; cf. CLOVNIOQ (MLH A.67.2) in Roman characters.
d. **aPulu** (e.g., MLH K.1.1 A11; nom. sg.) /ablu:/ ‘an idionym’; cf. Latinised ABLO (AE 1979, 377 = 1983, 602 = 1984, 586).
e. **enTaña** (MLH K.1.1 A6; prep.) /entra:/ ‘within’; cf. Lat. *intrā*.
f. **śeCoPiriCea** (MLH K.0.3; nom. sg.) /segobrigia:/⁴ ‘an adjective derived from a toponym’; cf. SEGOBRIGA (MLH A.89.5) in Roman characters.

It is important to note that some combinations of non-sibilant obstruent + liquid making use of a dead vowel are not securely attested, or, indeed, not attested at all.

- (4) a. **Xele** for /Tle/ is not attested.⁵
b. **Xefe** for /Tre/ is not attested.⁶
c. **Xoño** for /Tro/ is not certainly attested. The only potential token is a form which is uncertainly read as **a|nTĩr|oś** or **a|nTõr|oś** (MLH K.0.10).⁷ Should the latter be correct, it is not certain that it would necessarily represent /antros/ or /andros/.
d. **Xuru** for /Tru/ is not certainly attested. **TurumoCum** (e.g., MLH K.1.3 i 24) is obscure, and it appears likely that **TurunTaś** (Bot. IV A3) contains /tur(r)u/-.

§3. In addition to this convention, all previous commentators recognise two other, much less common, techniques for writing /TL/ groups (Lejeune 1955: 58–60; Schmoll 1959: 8 & 101; Untermann 1997: 380–381; Wodtko 2000: xxiii–xxiv; Jordán Cólera 2004: 31). The first posits an orthographic metathesis of the liquid and following etymological vowel; thus, e.g., <Tĩr>= /tri/ or /dri/. The clearest token is:

- (5) **ConTerPia** (MLH A.75.2; nom. sg.) ‘a toponym’; cf. Latinised loc. sg. CONTREBIAE (AE 1979, 377 = 1983, 602 = 1984, 586).

⁴ The attested form is a token of quasi-phonetic orthography, in which phonemic *-ia:/* shows lowering of the high vowel to *-[ea:]* by vowel affection.

⁵ I employ **X** as a cover symbol for the obstruent component of moraic characters.

⁶ In view of gen. sg. **TuaTeñoś** (MLH K.1.3 iii 24), nom. pl. **TuaTeñeś** (MLH K.1.3 ii 40) must surely represent /duateres/.

⁷ Epigraphic abbreviations: Round brackets () indicate characters not incised by the engraver; the underdot . indicates characters that are damaged and/or no longer clearly legibile; the pipe | indicates line breaks.

Phonological answers to orthographic problems. On the treatment of non-sibilant...

Many other likely tokens are based upon **trito-*, the ordinal ‘third’:⁸

- (6)
- a. **TiřTanoś** (e.g., MLH K.16.1; nom. sg.) ‘an idionym’;⁹ Latinised nom. sg. TRITIANVS (AE 1983, 512).
 - b. **TiřTano** (MLH K.1.3 ii 46; gen. sg. of (6a)).
 - c. **TiřTaniCum** (e.g., MLH K.1.3 i 1; gen. pl.) ‘a family name derived from (6a)’.
 - d. **TiřToCum** (Torrijo del Campo (Teruel));¹⁰ gen. pl.); ‘a family name’.
 - e. **TiřToPoloCum** (e.g., MLH K.1.3 i 47; gen. pl.); ‘a family name’.
 - f. **TiřToTulu** (Museo de Cuenca; nom. sg.);¹¹ ‘an idionym’.
 - g. **TiřTouioś** (MLH K.1.3 ii 16; nom. sg.) ‘an idionym’; cf. Latinised PENTOVIVS (e.g., CIL ii 6338_k).
 - h. **TiřTu** (e.g., MLH K.1.3 ii 22; nom. sg.); ‘an idionym’; cf. TRIDONIECV (MLH K.14.2).
 - i. **TiřTunoś** (MLH K.1.3 ii 42; gen. sg. of (6h)).

The second posits the orthographic suppression of the liquid character, e.g.g.:

- (7)
- a. **ConPouTo** (MLH A.74; gen. sg.) ‘a toponym’; cf. Hellenised nom. sg. *Κόμπλουτον* (Ptolemy, 2.6.56).
 - b. **ConTePaCom** (e.g., MLH A.75.1; nom. sg.) ‘an adjective derived from (5)’; cf. Latinised nom. sg. CONTREBIENSIS (AE 1979, 377 = 1983, 602 = 1984, 586).
 - c. **ConTePias** (MLH K.0.2; abl. sg.) ‘a toponym’; cf. Latinised loc. sg. CONTREBIAE (AE 1979, 377 = 1983, 602 = 1984, 586).
 - d. **neřToPiś** (MLH A.50.1; nom. sg.) ‘a toponym’; cf. *Νερτόβριγα* (Ptolemy, 2.4.10).

Not orthographic, but phonological, metathesis

§4. In this paper, I argue, instead, that the only orthographic convention for spelling /TL/ groups makes use of a dead vowel that copies the colour of the following etymological vowel, as in **Tiřiś** = /tri:s/ (MLH K.1.1 A6; acc.) ‘three’. The two alternatives described in §3 do not represent orthographic conventions, but real —sporadically implemented— phonological changes.¹²

§5. Orthographies such as **ConTeřPia**, then, do not represent an orthographic metathesis, but a phonological one. In fact, many commentators already allow for such an analysis for the forms in <**TiřT**-> on the basis of orthographic variations such as those in (8) (Tovar 1949a: 274 = 1949b: 139; Lejeune 1955: 58; Untermann 1997: 381; Wodtko 2000: 395) — though not elsewhere.

⁸ There are numerous other possible tokens, but none with a good etymology, so I do not list them.

⁹ Perhaps Latinised as DIRTANVS in an inscription from Hinojosa de Jarque (Teruel), on which see Siles 1985.

¹⁰ See Vicente Redón & Ezquerro Lebrón 1999, Rubio Orecilla 1999, and Jordán Cólera 2004: 319–323 on this inscription.

¹¹ See Lorrio & Velaza 2005 on this inscription of unknown provenance.

¹² Hoenigswald 1964: 205–206 notes that nasals and liquids are particularly subject to sporadic phonological changes.

- (8) a. 1. TRITALICVM (CIL ii 5077).
 2. TIRDALICO(m) (CIL ii 6338^{ff}).
 b. 1. TRITAI (CIL ii 2953).
 2. TIRDAI (AE 1920, 80).

It is also to be noted, as commented upon by Wodtko 2000: 395, that the sequence /tri-/ is spelt <Tiri>- in forms such as those in (9) from the Botorrita I (MLH K.1.1) and Botorrita III (MLH K.1.3) inscriptions:

- (9) a. **Tiriś** (MLH K.1.1 A6; acc.) /tri:s/ ‘three’.
 b. **Tiriu** (MLH K.1.3 iii 31; nom. sg.) /triu:/; cf. Lat. nom. sg. TRIO (AE 1953, 88)

It seems highly unlikely, then, that <Tir>- spells anything but /tir/- or /dir/- in the same inscriptions, e.g.g.:

- (10) a. **TirTanoś** (MLH K.1.1 B6; e.g., K.1.3 i 52).
 b. **TirTu** (MLH K.1.1 B4; K.1.3 ii 22).

§6. The tautosyllabic metathesis of /LV/ to /VL/ sequences between consonants is known to occur in many languages. This occurs because laterality (Ladefoged & Maddieson 1996: 193–197; Narayanan, Alwan, & Haker 1997) and rhoticity (Ladefoged & Maddieson 1996: 244 & 313; Alwan, Narayanan, & Haker 1997) are features whose phonetic cues are elongated and resonate over multisegmental strings, leaving open the possibility for the listener to attribute the elongated feature to a non-historical position.¹³

§7. Leumann 1977: 101 cites the sporadic metathesis of /rV/ to /Vr/ in the following Plautine forms:

- (11) a. *corcōtārīī* ‘concerned with saffron coloured robes’ (*Aul.* 521); cf. Gk. *κροκωτός* ‘saffron coloured’.
 b. *phrygiō* ‘embroiderer’ (*Aul.* 508); normally *phrygiō*.
 c. *tarpezīta* ‘money changer’ (e.g.g., *Curc.* 341, 406); cf. Gk. *τραπεζίτης*.

A similar sporadic change is well know in Old English (Campbell 1959: 184–185), e.g.g.:

- (12) a. *cerse* ‘cress’; cf. *cresse*.
 b. *dærstan* ‘dregs’; cf. *dræstan*.
 c. *forsc* ‘frog’; cf. *frosc*.

Such metatheses can become regular. Blevins & Garrett 1998: 516–517 cite the metathesis of */lu/ > /ul/ before [–coronal] consonants reconstructed for Latin, as in (13), and the metathesis of */rə/ > /ər/ in unstressed syllables before consonants that are not [+labial, –plosive] in Le Havre French, as in (14):

¹³ See Steriade 1990 and Blevins & Garrett 1998: 510–527 & 2004: 117–125 & 128–135 on the phonetic mechanics of this phonological change. For the concept of the listener as the source of sound change, see numerous papers by Ohala, e.g.g., 1981, 1993 & 2003.

- (13) Latin (Leumann 1977: 101):
 a. */dlukis/ > Lat. *dulcis* ‘sweet’.
 b. */plumo:/ > Lat. *pulmō* ‘lung’.
- (14) Le Havre French (Grammont 1909):¹⁴
 a. [bærdɛl] ‘suspenders; bretelle’.
 b. [bærbɪ] ‘ewe; brebis’.
 c. [færʃone] ‘shiver; frissonner’.
 d. [færlyk] ‘tuft; freluce’.
 e. [færtije] ‘wag (a tail), wriggle; frétiler’.
 f. [gærzi] ‘sleet; gréssil’.
 g. [gærnje] ‘granary; grenier’.

§8. The combined evidence of /LV/ vs. /VL/ forms engraved in Roman characters (8), the coexistence of forms in <Tifi>- and <Tir>- in the same inscriptions (9–10), and cross-linguistic comparanda for both sporadic and regular metathesis (11–12 and 13–14, respectively), then, indicate that what we see in forms such as **ConTerPia** is a phonological metathesis, not an orthographic convention. Based upon the very limited number of tokens currently attested (5–7), it appears that /l/ was prone to metathesise across back vowels and /r/ across front vowels.

Not orthographic, but phonological, deletion

§9. Within the hypothesis that sequences of /l/ + back vowel and /r/ + front vowel underwent metathesis sporadically, I argue that orthographies such as those in (7), in which etymological liquids are not written, do not represent an orthographic suppression of the liquid,¹⁵ but a sporadically implemented deletion in coda position before consonant, e.g., */komplowto/- > */kompowlto/- > gen. sg. **ConPouTo**.¹⁶

§10. It is clear that liquids are normally continued in coda position before consonant in Hispano-Celtic, e.g.g.:

- (15) a. **PilPilis** (e.g., MLH A.73.1; abl. sg.) ‘a toponym’; cf. Latinised nom. sg. BILBILIS (see Untermann 1975: 292).
 b. **elCuanoś** (e.g., MLH K.1.3 ii 18; nom. sg.) ‘an idionym’; cf.

¹⁴ In Cajun French, metathesised forms alternate freely with non-metathesised forms (Lyche 1995: 369–377).

¹⁵ Epigraphic reasons have occasionally been advanced to explain the postulated orthographic suppression of the liquid character. Thus, Tovar 1949c: 23, followed by Lejeune 1955: 48¹¹³, suggests that **nefToPiś** is an abbreviation for nom. pl. /nertobriges/, and Untermann 1972–1974: 475²⁹ adopts the same tactic with regard to **CaPiCa** (MLH A.75.7; nom. sg.) for **CařPiCa** (e.g., MLH A.75.2). But this does not convince, for everything we know about Hispano-Celtic epigraphic practice points to the fact that abbreviations simply left off the ends of words, e.g.g.:

- (i) a. **ařeCo** (MLH A.52.9) and **ařeCořa** (MLH A.52.8) for **ařeCořaTas** (e.g., MLH A.52.2; abl. sg.).
 b. **PiřiCanTi** (MLH A.3.2) and **PiřiCanTin** (MLH A.3.1) for /briganti:nos/; cf. Latinised *Brigantīnus* (Pliny, *NH* 9.63).
 c. **leś** (MLH K.1.3 i 33) for **leśunoś** (e.g., MLH K.1.1 B2; gen. sg.).
 d. **melm** (MLH K.1.3 iii 9) for **melmanso** (MLH K.1.3 iii 15; gen. sg.) or **melmunoś** (e.g., K.1.1 B1; gen. sg.).

¹⁶ See Eska 2002: 148–149 on the significance of the heteroörganic nasal in the attested form.

Latinised nom. sg. ELGVANVS (Hinojosa de Jarque (Teruel); see Siles 1985).

c. **Pel̄su** (e.g., MLH K.1.3 i 21; nom. sg.) ‘an idionym’; cf. Latinised gen. sg. PELSINI (CIL ii 730).

d. **Cal̄TaiCiCoş** (MLH K.23.2; nom. sg.) ‘an adjectival derivative’; cf. Lat. CALDAECVS (IRL 265).

e. SALVANTICA (Lora del Río (Sevilla);¹⁷ nom. sg.) ‘an adjectival derivative of a toponym’.

f. **CařPiliCum** (MLH K.1.3 iii 39; gen. pl.) ‘a family name’; cf. Latinised CARBILVS (CIL ii 2787).

g. **ařCanTa** (e.g. MLH K.1.3 iii 11; nom. sg.) ‘an idionym’; cf. Latinised ARGANTA (see Albertos 1979: 138).

h. **PořmeşComí** (e.g., MLH A.81.1; nom. sg.) ‘an adjectival derivative of a toponym’.

i. **şañniCiei** (MLH K.1.1 A9; loc. sg.) ‘a toponym’.

j. **CofTonei** (MLH K.0.7; loc. sg.) ‘a toponym’; cf. Latinised *Cortonēnsēs* (Pliny, *NH* 3.24).

k. **Cořuinom** (MLH K.1.1 A4; acc. sg.) ‘(animal) enclosure?’ < **koru(o)-īno-*; cf. Lat. *curvus* ‘having a curved surface’.

§11. It is well known, however, that consonants in coda position are not robustly articulated;¹⁸ they may be neutralised, lenited, or deleted.¹⁹ For example, in Andalusian Spanish, liquids in coda position are neutralised: The pronunciation of *harto* ‘satisfied’ and *alto* ‘high’ have merged, the liquid being variously articulated as a flap [ɾ], an approximant [ɹ], a lateral [l], or a lateral flap [ɭ], inter alia, or else deleted (Penny 2000: 126–127).

§12. Cross-linguistically, one finds that the articulation of rhotics in coda position varies enormously, e.g.:

(16) a. In Dutch, /r/ may be realised by an enormous range of phones, including a uvular trill [ʀ] or approximant [ʀ̥], a palatal approximant [j], a retroflex flap [ɾ] or approximant [ɹ], or an alveolar trill [r] or approximant [ɹ], inter alia, or it may be vocalised to [ə], or deleted (van de Velde & van Hout 1999).

b. In German, /ʀ/ is regularly vocalised to [ə] in coda position (Kohler 1990:72).²⁰

c. In British English, /r/ is regularly deleted in coda position (Wells 1982: 218–222), a development which also affects /ɹ/ in certain varieties of American English, notably in New York City (Wells 1982: 505–508), eastern New England (Wells 1982: 520–522), some

¹⁷ See Remesal Rodríguez 1999, Rubio Orecilla 2003: 145–146, and Jordán Cólera 2004: 366 on this inscription.

¹⁸ Cf. the statistics of Adda-Decker, Boula de Mareüil, Adda, & Lamel 2005: 133–135. In their large corpus of spontaneous French, 30 per cent of consonants in coda position are deleted. They note that liquids represent over 35 per cent of the consonants deleted in their corpus, though they represent only 25 per cent of consonants.

¹⁹ See Eska 2002: 146–150 for a discussion of the phonetic mechanics with regard to nasals in coda position before obstruent in Hispano-Celtic.

²⁰ See further the Swedish and German dialect information on this reduction compiled by Howell 1991: 109–111.

southern dialects (Wells 1982: 542–545), and African-American English (Wells 1982: 557).

§13. Likewise, the vocalisation of /l/ in coda position after /a/ is common in Spanish and many of the other Romance languages (especially before voiceless plosives) (de Cos Ruiz & Ruiz Fernández 2003: 148), e.g.g.:

- (17) a. Span. *otero* ‘hillock’ < **autariu* < Vulg. Lat. **altariu*.
b. Span. *otro* ‘other’ < **auteru* < Vulg. Lat. **alteru*.
c. Span. *topo* ‘mole’ < **taupu* < Vulg. Lat. **talpu*.

It also occurs sporadically following any vowel in non-standard varieties of British English (Wells 1982: 258–259 & 314), in which it may be realised as [ɾ], [o], or [ʊ], or, rarely, deleted. Vocalisation or deletion is also known in southern American English (Wells 1982: 550–551) and African-American English (Wells 1982: 557).²¹ Similar articulations are attested in dialects of German (Howells 1991: 108–109).

Lateral deletion in coda position before /m/ is also attested in Q^way’áyitq’ (Upper Chehalis), a Salishan language of the Tsamoan branch (Rowicka 2002), e.g.g.:²²

- (18) a. √*t’úl-* ‘come, arrive, get to here’
1. *s-t’ú-mis-n*
CONT-come-REL-3.SG.OBJ
‘He/she comes to him/her.’
2. *t’ú-ms-mulʔ*
come-REL-1.PL.OBJ
‘He/she comes to us.’
b. √*šəwil-* ‘road, trail; door’
1. *sit-šwá-m’-šulʔ*
change-road-toward-road
‘He/she crosses/intersects roads/trails’
2. *sit-šwá-mit-n*
change-road-MID.CONT-3.SG.SUBJ
‘He/she crosses roads/trails.’

§14. That liquids in coda position were perceptually weak in Hispano-Celtic and could be sporadically deleted may be evinced in two obscure forms:

- (19) a. **PaśCunes** (e.g., MLH A.38.1; abl. sg.); cf. **PaśCunes** (e.g., MLH A.38.3).
b. **CaPiCa** (MLH A.75.7; nom. sg.); cf. **CaPiCa** (e.g., MLH A.75.2).

The etymon underlying (19a) is unknown, but Untermann 1975: 242 claims that ‘**baśk-** kann nur für *brask-*, nicht auch für *barsk-* stehen’, thus maintaining the conventional explanation of the orthography. But the constraint upon his analysis is undermined by his connexion of

²¹ See further Hardcastle & Barry 1989 and Sproat & Fujimura 1993 on the phonetic mechanics of lateral articulation.

²² Grammatical abbreviations: CONT = continuative; MID = middle; REL = relational.

(19b) to the ethnonym *Carpetanī* (e.g., Pliny, *NH* 3.19); he compares the relationship between neut. nom. sg. adj. **CaŕPiCom** (MLH A.75.5) and *Carpetanī* to that between masc. nom. sg. adj. **CalaCoriCoś** (MLH A.53) and *Calagurritanī* (e.g., Caesar, *BC* 1.60.1). If it is possible for /r/ to be deleted in coda position in **CaPiCa**, there is no reason to deny that it could be deleted in coda position in **PaśCunes**.

§15. The cross-linguistic evidence for the weak articulation, vocalisation, or deletion of liquids in coda position (§11 and 15–17) and the attestation of **CaPiCa** beside **CaŕPiCa**, then, suggest that what we see in forms such as **ConPouTo** and **neŕToPiś**²³ is a phonological deletion, not an orthographic convention.

Conclusion

§16. There is no reason to believe that, beside the widely attested convention of spelling /TL/ groups with a dead vowel that copied the colour of the following etymological vowel, as in **Tiriś** = /tri:s/, there existed two other sporadically attested techniques for spelling such groups. To countenance such a view defeats the very notion of ‘convention’ in an orthographic system that is otherwise highly consistent. Metatheses such as that in **ConTeŕPia** < /kontrebia/ and deletions in coda position before obstruent such as that in **ConPouTo** < */kompowlto/- < */komplowto/- are well attested cross-linguistic phonological processes. Instead of seeking ad hoc orthographic sub-rules to explain linguistic forms that do not meet our expectations, we should take seriously the evidence that the engravers left us. Ancient languages were subject to all of the same phonological variations that languages spoken today are. The divergent forms addressed in this paper are valuable evidence for the proximate phonetics of what those variations were like.

Abbreviations

AE = *L'année épigraphique*.
Bot. IV = Villar, Díaz, Medrano, & Jordán 2001.
CIL = *Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum*.
IRL = Diego Santos 1986.
MLH A = Untermann 1975.
MLH K = Untermann 1997: 349–722.

References

- Adda-Decker, Martine, Philippe Boula de Mareüil, Gilles Adda, & Lori Lamel (2005): “Investigating syllabic structures and their variation in spontaneous French”, *Speech communication* 46, pp. 119–139.
Albertos, M.^a Lourdes (1979): “La onomástica de la Celtiberia”, in *Actas del II Coloquio sobre Lenguas y Culturas Prerromanas de la Península*

²³ Carnoy 1906: 160 also mentions that the deletion of /r/ before /s/ occurred heterosyllabically in internal position in the Latin of Iberia on a sporadic basis, e.g.g., SVSVM (CIL ii 6268) for *sursum* ‘in an upward direction’ and SVPPESTES (CIL ii 554) for *superstes* ‘standing over (a body)’.

- Phonological answers to orthographic problems. On the treatment of non-sibilant...*
- Ibérica (Tübingen, 17–19 junio 1976)*, ed. Antonio Tovar, Manfred Faust, Franz Fischer, & Michael Koch, pp. 131–167, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca.
- Alwan, Abeer, Shrikanth Narayanan, & Katherine Haker (1997): “Toward articulatory-acoustic models for liquid approximants based on MRI and EPG data. Part II. The rhotics”, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 101, pp. 1078–1089.
- Bartoněk, Antonin (2003): *Handbuch des mykenischen Griechisch*, Carl Winter, Heidelberg.
- Blevins, Juliette, & Andrew Garrett (1998): “The origins of consonant-vowel metathesis”, *Language* 74, pp. 508–556.
- (2004): “The evolution of metathesis”, in *Phonetically based phonology*, ed. Bruce Hayes, Robert Kircher, & Donca Steriade, pp. 117–156, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Campbell, A. (1959): *Old English grammar*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Carnoy, A. (1906): *Le latin d’Espagne d’après les inscriptions. Etude linguistique*, Misch & Thron, Bruxelles.
- de Cos Ruiz, Francisco Javier, & Francisco Ruiz Fernández (2003): *Teoría y práctica de fonética y fonología diacrónicas del español*, Universidad de Cádiz, Cádiz.
- Diego Santos, Francisco (1986): *Inscripciones romanas de la provincia de León*, Institución Fray Bernardino de Sahagún de la Excma, León.
- Eska, Joseph F. (2002): “Symptoms of nasal effacement in Hispano-Celtic”, *Palaeohispanica* 2, pp. 141–158.
- Grammont, M. (1909): “Une loi fonétique générale”, in *Philologie et linguistique. Mélanges offerts à Louis Havet par ses anciens élèves et ses amis à l’occasion du 60 anniversaire de sa naissance le 6 janvier 1909*, pp. 179–183, Librairie Hachette, Paris.
- Hardcastle, William, & William Barry (1989): “Articulatory and perceptual factors in /l/ vocalisations in English”, *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 15, pp. 3–17.
- Hoenigswald, Henry M. (1964): “Graduality, sporadicity, and the minor sound change processes”, *Phonetica* 11, pp. 202–215.
- Howell, Robert B. (1991): “Modern evidence for ancient sound changes. Old English breaking and High German vowel epenthesis revisited”, in *Stæfcræft. Studies in Germanic linguistics* (Papers from the First and Second Symposium on Germanic Linguistics, University of Chicago, 24 April 1985, and University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 3–4 October 1986), ed. Elmer H. Antonsen & Hans Henrich Hock, pp. 103–113, John Benjamins, Amsterdam.
- Jordán Cólera, Carlos (2004): *Celtibérico*, Departamento de Ciencias de la Antigüedad, Zaragoza.
- (2005): “¿Sistema dual de escritura en celtibérico?”, *Palaeohispanica* 5, pp. 1013–1030.
- Kohler, K. J. (1990): “Segmental reduction in connected speech in German. Phonological facts and phonetic explanations”, in *Speech production and speech modelling*, ed. William J. Hardcastle & Alain Marchal, pp. 69–92. Kluwer, Dordrecht.

- Ladefoged, Peter, & Ian Maddieson (1996): *The sounds of the world's languages*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Lejeune, Michel (1955): *Celtiberica*, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca.
- Leumann, Manu (1977): *Lateinische Grammatik i, Lateinische Laut- und Formenlehre*, C. H. Beck, München.
- Lorrio, Alberto J., & Javier Velaza (2005): “La primera inscripción celtibérica sobre plomo”, *Palaeohispanica* 5, pp. 1031–1048.
- Lyche, Chantal (1995): “Schwa metathesis in Cajun French”, *Folia linguistica* 29, pp. 369–394.
- Narayanan, Shrikanth, Abeer Alwan, & Katherine Haker (1997): “Toward articulatory-acoustic models for liquid approximants based on MRI and EPG data. Part I. The laterals”, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 101, pp. 1064–1077.
- Ohala, John J. (1981): “The listener as a source of sound change”, in *Papers from the parasession on language and behavior* (April 18, 1981), ed. Carrie S. Masek, Roberta A. Hendrick, & Mary Frances Miller, pp. 178–203, Chicago Linguistic Society, Chicago.
- (1993): “The phonetics of sound change”, in *Historical linguistics. Problems and perspectives*, ed. Charles Jones, pp. 237–278, Longman, London.
- (2003): “Phonetics and historical phonology”, in *The handbook of historical linguistics*, ed. Brian D. Joseph & Richard D. Janda, pp. 669–686, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Penny, Ralph (2000): *Variation and change in Spanish*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Remesal Rodríguez, José (1999): “En torno a una nueva tésera de hospitalidad”, in Villar & Beltrán (1999: 595–603).
- Rowicka, Grażyna J. (2002): “Lateral deletion and more or less excrescent schwa in Upper Chehalis”, *Linguistics in the Netherlands 2002*, pp. 139–149.
- Rubio Orecilla, Francisco Javier (1999): “Aproximación lingüística al bronce de Torrijo (Teruel)”, *Veleia* 16, pp. 137–157.
- (2003): “Acerca de nuevas y viejas inscripciones”, *Palaeohispanica* 3, pp. 141–161.
- Schmoll, Ulrich (1959): *Die Sprachen der vorkeltischen Indogermanen Hispaniens und das Keltiberische*, Otto Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden.
- Siles, Jaime (1985): “Celtismo y latinización. La estela de Ibiza y una inscripción latina de Hinojosa de Jarque (Teruel); sobre la mención de *origo* en las inscripciones celtibéricas”, in *Serta gratulatoria in honorem Juan Régulo i*, *Filología*, ed. Ana Régulo Rodríguez, pp. 675–696, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna.
- Sproat, Richard, & Osamu Fujimura (1993): “Allophonic variation in English /l/ and its implications for phonetic implementation”, *Journal of phonetics* 21, pp. 291–311.
- Steriade, Donca (1990): “Gestures and autosegments. Comments on Browman and Goldstein’s paper”, in *Papers in laboratory phonology i, Between grammar and the physics of speech*, ed. John Kingston & Mary E. Beckman, pp. 382–397, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Phonological answers to orthographic problems. On the treatment of non-sibilant...

- Tovar, Antonio (1949a): “La sonorización y caída de las intervocálicas, y los estratos indoeuropeos en Hispania”, *Boletín de la Real Academia Española* 28, pp. 265–280.
- (1949b): *Estudios sobre las primitivas lenguas hispánicas*, Coni, Buenos Aires.
- (1949c): “Pre-Indoeuropeans, Pre-Celts, and Celts in the Hispanic Peninsula”, *Journal of Celtic studies* 1, pp. 11–23.
- Untermann, Jürgen (1972–1974): “Zu keltiberischen Münzlegenden”, *Archivo español de arqueología* 45–47, pp. 469–476.
- (1975): *Monumenta linguarum Hispanicarum* i, *Die Münzlegenden*, Dr. Ludwig Reichert, Wiesbaden.
- (unter Mitwirkung von Dagmar Wodtko) (1997): *Monumenta linguarum Hispanicarum* iv, *Die tartessischen, keltiberischen und lusitanischen Inschriften*, Dr. Ludwig Reichert, Wiesbaden.
- van de Velde, Hans, & Roeland van Hout (1999): “The pronunciation of (r) in standard Dutch”, *Linguistics in the Netherlands 1999*, pp. 177–188.
- Vicente Redón, Jaime D., & Beatriz Ezquerro Lebrón (1999): “El bronce celtibérico de Torrijo del Campo (Teruel)”, in Villar & Beltrán (1999: 581–594).
- Villar, Francisco (1995): *Estudios de celtibérico y de toponimia prerromana*, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca.
- , & Francisco Beltrán (ed.) (1999): *Pueblos, lenguas y escrituras en la Hispania prerromana* (Actas del VII Coloquio sobre Lenguas y Culturas Paleohispánicas, Zaragoza, 12 a 15 de Marzo de 1997), Institución «Fernando el Católico», Zaragoza / Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca.
- , M.^a Antonia Díaz, Manuel M.^a Medrano, & Carlos Jordán (2001): *El IV bronce de Botorrita (Contrebia Belaisca). Arqueología y lingüística*, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca.
- Wells, J. C. (1982): *Accents of English*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Wodtko, Dagmar S. (2000): *Monumenta linguarum Hispanicarum* v/1, *Wörterbuch der keltiberischen Inschriften*, Dr. Ludwig Reichert, Wiesbaden.
- Woodard, Roger D. (1994): “On the interaction of Greek orthography and phonology. Consonant clusters in the syllabic scripts”, in *Writing systems and cognition. Perspectives from psychology, physiology, linguistics, and semiotics*, ed. W. C. Watt, pp. 311–334, Kluwer, Dordrecht.

Joseph F. Eska
Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University
e-mail: eska@vt.edu